

State Accreditation: Then and Now

ASSAL – July 2011

Todd Sells

Why is Accreditation Needed?



- Insurance company insolvencies during late 80s & early 90s
- Rep. John Dingell "Failed Promises, Insurance Company Insolvencies"
- Weaknesses noted in state regulation of insurance companies
- Report suggested that federal regulation may be more efficient

State Regulation Weaknesses Noted in the Dingell Report



- Information provided by companies not verified
- Insufficient staffing and regulatory resources
- No mandatory requirement regarding frequency of examinations
- Lack of interstate coordination and cooperation

History of the NAIC Accreditation Program



- Formed in 1989
- Voluntary program for state insurance departments administered by the NAIC
- Focus on multi-state life/health and property/casualty insurers
- First state accredited in 1990
- 50 states and District of Columbia accredited

Mission Statement of the Accreditation Program



The objective of the accreditation program is:

 To provide a process whereby solvency regulation of multi-state insurance companies can be enhanced and adequately

monitored with emphasis on the following:

- Adequate solvency laws and regulations to protect consumers and guarantee funds.
- Effective and efficient financial analysis and examination processes
- Appropriate organizational and personnel practices
- To allow states to rely on the work performed by other states.

Supervision and Administration of the Accreditation Program



- Financial Regulation Standards and Accreditation (F) Committee
 - Chair: Linda Hall (AK)
 - Vice Chair: Commissioner Julie Mix McPeak (TN)
- Open Session:
 - Typically begins after Opening Session on first official day of National Meeting
- Regulator-to-Regulator Session:
 - One day prior to first official day of the National Meeting. 1-3 hours in length, depending on agenda



Accreditation Standards

- Part A: Laws and Regulations
- Part B: Regulatory Practices and Procedures
- Part C: Organizational and Personnel Practices



Part A: Laws and Regulations

- States must adopt certain laws and regulations for solvency
- 18 laws and regulations are currently required
- The state must have all the laws and regulations in effect to be accredited (i.e. pass or fail)

Part B: Regulatory Practices & Procedures



- Financial Analysis
 - -8 standards
- Financial Examinations
 - 10 standards
- Information Sharing and Procedures for Troubled Companies
 - -2 standards
- Scored by the accreditation team members

Overview of Scoring: Part B



 The scoring range for each component will be on a scale of 0 to 5

```
1 = Poor

2 = Needs Improvement

3 = Good

4 = Very Good

5 = Excellent
```

- Must receive an average score equal to or greater than 3.0 on each of the three major subparts
- Minimum score of 2.0 must be attained on each individual standard
- Scores are confidential





- 3 Standards
 - Professional Development
 - Minimum Educational and Experience Requirements
 - Retention of Personnel
- Not scored by the accreditation team members

Types of Accreditation Reviews



- Pre-Accreditation Review
- Accreditation Review
- Sub-Part Re-Review
- Interim Annual Review
- Periodic Reporting

Pre-Accreditation Review



- Performed one to two years prior to full review by NAIC Staff
- Duration is approximately 1.5 days
- High level review of financial analysis and financial examination functions to identify areas of improvement
- Voluntary but strongly recommended
- Confidential pre-accreditation report issued to the Commissioner
- No Committee responsibility

Accreditation Review



- Once every 5 years subject to interim annual reviews
- Duration is approximately 1 week (5 business days)
- Review Team composition supervised by the NAIC Accreditation Program Manager
- Full review of Part B & C Standards by Review Team
- Full review of Part A Standards by NAIC Legal Division
- Reports distributed to the Financial Regulation
 Standards and Accreditation (F) Committee (FRSAC)
- FRSAC members vote

Sub-Part Re-Reviews



- May be required by the Committee in certain circumstances following a full review
- Utilize same accreditation team members and NAIC Accreditation Program Manager
- Review limited to only areas which require follow-up
- Same reports issued as with a full accreditation review for areas under review
- FRSAC members vote





- Completed IARs submitted to NAIC Staff on annual basis
- Review performed to assess compliance
 - Review of Part B & C by NAIC Accreditation Staff
 - Review of changes to Part A by NAIC Legal Division
- Required to maintain accredited status between accreditation reviews
- Results are communicated to the Committee each National Meeting
- FRSAC members vote



Periodic Reporting

- May be required by the Committee for continued monitoring of concerns noted in a full review or interim annual review
- Detailed information sent to NAIC staff
- NAIC staff prepare a summary memo for Committee members, including staff recommendation
- FRSAC members vote





Group Discussion

 In your experiences, how has accreditation improved state-based regulation?

 How has accreditation increased collaboration between states?





- Information provided by companies was not verified
 - Annual CPA audit and actuarial opinion required
- Insufficient staffing and regulatory resources
 - Accreditation helped justify increased budgets/staffing

How has accreditation helped the regulatory process?



- No mandatory requirement regarding frequency of examinations
 - Domestic companies must be examined no less frequently than every five years
- Lack of interstate coordination and cooperation
 - Documented policy regarding such is required

Communication Tools



- NAIC Administrative Policies Manual of the Financial Regulation Standards and Accreditation Program
 - Published each year as of January 1st
 - Hard copies of manual sent to state insurance departments
 - Updates to manual included on the website
- Accreditation Website

http://www.naic.org/committees_f.htm https://i-site.naic.org/cgi-bin/statenet/financial_accreditation.htm

Quarterly Accreditation Newsletter

Questions?



