

# **ANTICIPATION AND IMPACT OF CORPORATE ANNOUNCEMENTS IN THE AMERICAS**


Juan J. Cruces  
Business School  
Universidad Torcuato Di Tella

**SEMINAR ON THE DEVELOPMENT OF  
STOCK EXCHANGES IN CHILE**  
**Superintendencia de Valores y Seguros**  
**Universidad Adolfo Ibáñez**  
**Santiago de Chile, June 27, 2008**


## **WHY IS THIS IMPORTANT?**

- There is suspicion among market participants that insider trading is more prevalent in Latin America than in the United States.
- Traditionally IT restrictions unenforced in region but ... recent surge in prosecutions by Chilean SVS.
- SVS commissioned a study on the degree of market anticipation and impact of corp. announcements in Chile, analyzed from an international perspective.
- Contribution: We measure anticipation and impact consistently across countries using various methods.

# BACK TO BASICS: WHAT TO EXPECT OF A GOOD ANNOUNCEMENT?



# LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY TO ASSESS THE PREVALENCE OF INSIDER TRADING



## POTENTIAL CAUSES OF ANTICIPATION

- Great analysts
- Corps. making probabilistic announcements that are not recorded in dataset since they are not certain
- Insider trading
- Leakage via press reports

## **SAMPLE DESIGN**

**Chile: 25 shares from eight industrial sectors. At least three stocks per sector. Focus on the most liquid shares in each sector.**

**Argentina, Brazil, Mexico and USA: 83 stocks. Tried to choose three firms from each country-sector, as liquid as possible. These turned out to be leading firms (Blue chip bias).**

**Time span: Jan-1-2000 to Aug-31-2007.**

**Variables: daily log return in US dollars (adjusted for cash dividends). Announcements of quarterly earnings, cash dividends, acquisitions and divestitures (5,400 Annmts.).**

**Source: Economatica and Bloomberg.**

# SAMPLE

Sector	Country										Total Firms	
	Chile		Argentina		Brazil		Mexico		USA			
	Firms	Volume	Firms	Volume	Firms	Volume	Firms	Volume	Firms	Volume		
Alimentos y bebidas	3	\$18	2	\$11	3	\$76	2	\$48	3	\$2,557	13	
Comercio	4	\$63	--	--	4	\$25	3	\$147	4	\$3,800	15	
Energía eléctrica	4	\$49	3	\$2	3	\$141	--	--	3	\$2,277	13	
Finanzas y seguros	4	\$22	4	\$21	3	\$285	2	\$79	3	\$9,731	16	
Minería	2	\$23	--	--	2	\$414	3	\$69	4	\$2,045	11	
Siderurgia y metalurgia	3	\$15	2	\$445	4	\$193	3	\$45	3	\$1,606	15	
Telecomunicaciones	2	\$48	2	\$39	3	\$296	3	\$440	3	\$5,640	13	
Transporte	3	\$24	--	--	3	\$55	2	\$34	4	\$1,180	12	
Sum or Weighted Avg.	25	\$34	13	\$83	25	\$170	18	\$135	27	\$3,464	108	
Average Presence	86		90		92		86		98			

Volume is in millions of US dollars per month


Notice different liquidity levels

# METHODOLOGY: THREE APPROACHES

## 1. ABNORMAL RETURNS MODEL:

Requires establishing ex ante which announcements were De Jure Surprises. Use AR model for Earnings and Dividends. All Acquisitions/Diversifications coded as De Jure Surprises.

Ask: Which De Jure Surprises were De Facto Surprises?



## 2. ABNORMAL VOLATILITY MODEL:

Work with All the Announcements.

Ask: Which announcements were De Facto Surprises (judging by the modulus of the residual in a market model)?

Does the info. get to the market before or after anncmt.?

# 1. ABNORMAL RETURNS MODEL

$$r_{it} = \alpha_i + \beta_i^W r_{mt}^W + \gamma_{T-30,T-16}^{PRE} D_{T-30,T-16}^{PRE}(i, t, j, c) + \gamma_{T-15,T-6}^{PRE} D_{T-15,T-6}^{PRE}(i, t, j, c) +$$

$$+ \gamma_{T-6,T-2}^{PRE} D_{T-6,T-2}^{PRE}(i, t, j, c) + \gamma_{T-1,T+2}^{POST} D_{T-1,T+2}^{POST}(i, t, j, c) + e_{it}$$

$i = 1, \dots, 108$

$j = \text{Earnings, Dividends, Adquisit./Divest}$

$t = 1 - \text{Jan} - 2000, \dots, 31 - \text{Aug} - 2007$        $c = \text{Chile, Argentina, Brazil, Mexico, USA}$


$$D(i, t, j, c) = \begin{cases} 1 & : \text{if Announcement } T, j, c \text{ was De Jure a Good Surprise} \\ 0 & : \text{if Announcement } T, j, c \text{ was De Jure No Surprise} \\ -1 & : \text{if Announcement } T, j, c \text{ was De Jure a Bad Surprise} \end{cases}$$

Note: of the 5400 announcements, only about 2400 are De Jure Surprises (see Tables 2.A, 2.B & 2.C).

Various specifications: local index, US index, both & none, IPSA type / MSCI type indices.

# QUARTERLY EARNINGS


CUMMULATIVE ADJUSTED RETURNS DURING ANTICIPATION  
AND IMPACT OF GOOD ANNOUNCEMENTS



SIGNIFICANT  $\gamma$  COEFFICIENTS FROM REGRESSION COMPOUNDED OVER TIME

# CASH DIVIDENDS

CUMMULATIVE ADJUSTED RETURNS DURING ANTICIPATION  
AND IMPACT OF GOOD ANNOUNCEMENTS



SIGNIFICANT  $\gamma$  COEFFICIENTS FROM REGRESSION COMPOUNDED OVER TIME

## 2. ABNORMAL VOLATILITY MODEL

**First stage:**  $r_{it} = \alpha_i + \beta_i^W r_{mt}^W + u_{it}$

**Second stage:**

$$|u_{it}| = \eta_i + \pi_i \left( \sum_{s=31}^{60} \frac{|u_{it-s}|}{30} \right) + \gamma_{T-30,T-16}^{PRE} D_{T-30,T-16}^{PRE}(i, t, j, c) + \gamma_{T-15,T-6}^{PRE} D_{T-15,T-6}^{PRE}(i, t, j, c) +$$

$$+ \gamma_{T-6,T-2}^{PRE} D_{T-6,T-2}^{PRE}(i, t, j, c) + \gamma_{T-1,T+2}^{POST} D_{T-1,T+2}^{POST}(i, t, j, c) + v_{it}$$


$$D(i, j, t, c) = \begin{cases} 1 & : \text{if } t \in N(T) \text{ when there was an announcement} \\ & \quad \text{of type } j \text{ in security } i \text{ of country } c \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$j$  = Earnings, Dividends, Adquisit./Divest

$c$  = Chile, Argentina, Brazil, Mexico, USA


Note: use all 5400 announcements.

## QUARTERLY EARNINGS ABNORMAL VOLATILITY DURING ANTICIPATION AND IMPACT PERIODS




SIGNIFICANT  $\gamma$  COEFFICIENTS FROM SECOND STAGE REGRESSION

**CASH DIVIDENDS**  
**ABNORMAL VOLATILITY**  
**DURING ANTICIPATION AND IMPACT**



SIGNIFICANT  $\gamma$  COEFFICIENTS FROM SECOND STAGE REGRESSION

## APPROACH #3. TOTAL RETURN ANALYSIS



## APPROACH #3. TOTAL RETURN ANALYSIS (cont.)

- Take all the announcements that were not preceded nor succeeded by another announcement during 33 trading days. Use all types of announcements: Earnings, Dividends, Aquisitions & Divestitures (Exclude reversals). 752 Annnc.
- Compute the total percentage return during the 33-day event window.
- Sort the events in three categories:
  - \* Very good:  $10\% < r < 42\%$
  - \* Good:  $0\% < r < 10\%$
  - \* Bad:  $-42\% < r < 0\%$
- For each category: Compute average return during distant anticipation, close anticipation, and post announcement. What fraction of the total event average return took place during Post announcement?
- Impact factor = Post announcement return / Total event return
- Compute average impact factor across event categories for one subject.


## TOTAL RETURN ANALYSIS -- INTERNATIONAL COMPARISON

Country	Category	Number of announcements	Total return (T-30,T+2)	Distant anticipation (T-30,T-16)	Close anticipation (T-15,T-2)	Post anncmtn. (T-1,T+2)	Total number of anncmnts.	Impact Factor
Chile	Very good	45	16.6	5.5	6.7	4.4	176	42%
	Good	63	4.6	1.6	0.2	2.8		
	Bad	68	-7.8	-2.4	-2.6	-2.7		
Argentina	Very good	29	18.8	5.0	8.3	5.4	112	46%
	Good	27	4.6	1.9	-0.6	3.3		
	Bad	56	-11.0	-2.4	-4.0	-4.6		
Brazil	Very good	77	20.1	7.4	6.8	5.9	175	47%
	Good	41	4.2	-0.1	0.1	4.2		
	Bad	57	-16.2	-5.9	-5.1	-5.2		
Mexico	Very good	50	19.0	8.5	6.2	4.4	161	46%
	Good	48	5.3	0.4	1.4	3.5		
	Bad	63	-10.1	-3.3	-1.7	-5.1		
USA	Very good	38	17.1	6.0	5.1	6.0	128	46%
	Good	40	4.5	1.1	0.7	2.7		
	Bad	50	-11.2	-4.5	-1.8	-4.8		

# TOTAL RETURN ANALYSIS ACROSS COUNTRIES


Fig. 1: ANNOUNCEMENT ANTICIPATION

Fracción del rendimiento total sucedida en cada sub-período  
alrededor de los anuncios corporativos



# TOTAL RETURN ANALYSIS ACROSS INDUSTRIAL SECTORS WITHIN CHILE

(the number of announcements on which each estimation is based appears in brackets)



# CONCLUSIONS

1. **The degree of market anticipation in Chile is comparable to other countries in the region.**

**Volatility analysis suggests that there is more extensive anticipation of Earnings announcements in Chile than in the other countries.**

2. **Should SVS stop and do nothing? BAD IDEA! NATIONAL AVERAGE HIDES IMPORTANT HETEROGENEITY in anticipation and impact across industrial sectors and individual firms.**
3. **PUZZLE: Latin America is not particularly different from USA. [Note: get similar conclusion using Easley & O'Hara's PIN!].**
4. **NEXT STEP: examine heterogeneity in detail. Cross-holdings (Lefort?), visibility, analyst coverage, pension fund holdings, etc.**